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Zoning With Economic Intent

- Specific or Master plan
- Zoning district
- Zoning overlays

Mary Beth Broeren
Master Plan/Specific Plan
Corridor Revitalization Goals

- Accommodate market preference for retail concentrations at major crossroads
- Plan corridor retail as part of a supportable city and hierarchy of centers
- Bolster the redevelopment of the mall
- Reverse creeping disinvestment by identifying highest and best uses
- Maximize property owner return
- Realign corridors with the forces of market demand
Step 1: Economic analysis

Step 2: Zoning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Permitted Dwelling Units</th>
<th>Remaining Dwelling Units</th>
<th>Retail SF</th>
<th>Office SF</th>
<th>Hotel Rooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edinger</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>206,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>532,000</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>739,400</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As of the effective date of this amendment to the BECSP (06.04.15)
Adopted in 2010
Immediate multi-family developer response
• Projects located near grocery-anchored centers & mall

By 2015 anti-change backlash & new Council
• Reduced total # of units
• Required commercial in each project
• Increased setbacks and parking requirements
Regional Commercial vs. Mixed Use vs. ?
Urban Center District

- Purpose: Regional and civic shopping & entertainment district w/ high density residential uses
- Min. 50 acre & within 1,000 feet of I-565
- Permitted & Prohibited uses
- Limited setback standards
- Required roadway & pedestrian amenities
- Allowance for shared parking and modified parking standards
- Open space
- Architectural requirements
- Master Sign Plan
Urban Center District

Traditional Neighborhood District
Zoning District Overlays
**Downtown Redevelopment Incentive Overlay District**

**Purpose:** The Downtown Redevelopment Incentive (DRI) Overlay District affords additional development opportunity beyond that provided by the underlying zoning regulations. The DRI overlay is intended to encourage development by enabling mixed-use development, higher development density and intensity, and relief from other development restrictions in the Zoning Ordinance.

- Requires Planning Commission approval
- Allows structures & uses not otherwise permitted
  - Ex: more than one use per lot; residential on ground or upper level
- No max. lot coverage, min. lot size, min. open space, on-site parking or parking lot landscaping required
- Planning Commission may set density, bulk, lot coverage, signage, open space and landscaping
Incentive Overlay Project

190 Apartment units
10,000 sf commercial

Under construction
Complete: Fall 2020
Takeaways... 

“One of the great mistakes is to judge policies and programs by their intentions rather than their results.”  

Milton Friedman

➢ It’s OKAY for zoning to work for the private sector

➢ Developers typically spend much more money than a public jurisdiction in terms of analyzing the market and what will be viable… Listen to them

➢ Work to make sure the “non-negotiable” planning goals are addressed

➢ Economic analysis is a useful tool as part of public debate

➢ Don’t be afraid to change the code

➢ Be prepared to change it back
Bob Barber

Economic Development Through Zoning Updates
Community Life Cycle

Birth | Growth | Maturity | Decline | Rebirth
Traditional Development Pattern (Compact with Mixed Use)
Sprawling Development Pattern
More than 8,200 stores are closing in 2019 as the retail apocalypse drags on — here's the full list

Hayley Peterson Sep 9, 2019, 11:00 AM
27-34 minutes

- More than 8,200 stores are expected to close in 2019.
- Fred's, Sears, Kmart, Party City, Walgreens, and Barneys, are among the retailers that have recently announced store closings.
- Charlotte Russe, Family Dollar, and Chico's recently announced more than 1,100 store closures in a span of 24 hours.
- Payless has said it plans to close all of its 2,500 stores in what could be the largest retail liquidation in history.

The staggering rate of store closures that has rocked the retail industry over the past couple of years is expected to continue in 2019, with roughly the same level of closures expected this year.

Retailers closed a record 102 million square feet of store space in 2017, then smashed that record in 2018 by closing another 155 million square feet, according to estimates by the commercial real-
Announced store openings and closings
Excluding grocery stores and restaurants

- Cumulative closings
- Cumulative openings
## Local Impact of Online Retail Sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orders</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Value</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 20% of the community</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Sales</td>
<td>6.1 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lost Sales $200 per square foot</td>
<td>$30,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Over 10 Years?</td>
<td>305,000 sq. ft. of Retail Space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Performance Comparison of Development Types on 2.5 Acres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Chain/Big Box</th>
<th>D.T. Mixed Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Property Tax</td>
<td>$ 7,738</td>
<td>$ 50,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tax (rebate based on $275/ $190 sq.ft)</td>
<td>$ 388,773</td>
<td>$ 267,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Local Multiplier</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Effective Revenues</td>
<td>$ 440,375</td>
<td>$ 396,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Tourism Tax</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Jobs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Residents</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Cultural and Health Amenity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Social Utility</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Remaining Factors to Calculate

- Environmental impacts
- Infrastructure maintenance
- Building and site life span
Walkability

Appropriate Street Type

Retrofit Landscape
Washington and 3rd Streets
Place Economics and Design
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direct Benefit</th>
<th>Direct Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td>New Revenue (8 Tables@ $2000/wk)</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 7,000</td>
<td>Sales Tax at 7%</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 6,000</td>
<td>Owner Profit 6%</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,000</td>
<td>Tourism Promotion 2%</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 12,000</td>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½</td>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Jobs**: ½
- **Investment**: $12,000
- **Tourism Promotion 2%**: $2,000
- **Owner Profit 6%**: $6,000
- **Sales Tax at 7%**: $7,000
- **New Revenue (8 Tables@ $2000/wk)**: $100,000
## Facilitating Return on Investment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning Control</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Parking Minimums</strong>&lt;br&gt;Pricewaterhouse Coopers - <em>Ecosystems Approach to Reducing Congestion</em></td>
<td>• Reduction or elimination of parking minimums promotes development&lt;br&gt;• Spurs urban renewal&lt;br&gt;• Drives more affordable real estate projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Landscaping/Trees</strong>&lt;br&gt;Virginia Cooperative Extension - <em>Value, Benefits, and Costs of Urban Trees</em></td>
<td>• Property value +7% to +18% for homes and lots&lt;br&gt;• Retail spending +9 to +12%&lt;br&gt;• Office leases +7%&lt;br&gt;• Environmental and infrastructure impacts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **3. Mixed Use**<br>Smartgrowth America – *Fiscal Benefits of Smart Growth Development* | • Tax revenue increased by a factor of 10<br>• Ongoing municipal services reduced by 10%
• Positive health impacts |
| **4. Establishment or Extension of Bike/Ped Infrastructure**<br>The Center for Neighborhood Technology – *Housing and Transportation Affordability Index* | • Reduces costs of living by 10 to 20%<br>• Increased value of property by +10%
• Increased localized entrepreneurial activity<br>• Positive health impacts |
1970s Death
In this conception of a suburban retrofit, an obsolete mono-culture of sprawl is retrofitted as a mixed center of dwellings, employers, shopping and dining with pedestrian scale amenity and environmental restoration. It's an essential approach to restoring vitality in the corridor and is very achievable.
Marcus Wax: Incrementalism is core to the Strong Towns approach, no? So I'd ask is the retrofit original posted the first step or the last step? If it's the last step, all criticism is warranted, but if the project is indeed the first round of intensification, with more or come over a decade or two, what's so bad about it? If environments need to be built out over time to accommodate incremental change why does that not apply to a retrofit as well? As many posters noted, the stroad remains in place and really outside of a single developer's ability to deal with.

Sean Hayford Olear: Must everything be all or nothing? It is not a template for new development, but it is solidly improved as a retrofit. I don't see any reason to dump on developers or cities trying to do better.

Catherine Hartley: Yes I agree. The question would be whether or not the streets will be re-vamped at some point (to be streets and not stroads). And is there a population outside of that center that will walk there?
Orion Planning + Design What a great discussion. We appreciate it. At the moment under current local rules this retrofit example couldn't even occur because of parking requirements, set backs, lack of environmental controls and use limits. But the process of reversing more than a half century of development policy from sprawl and ever expanding "growth", exploring alternatives, and discussing the economic, social, and environmental implications with communities respectfully is very helpful. It is an incremental step towards making communities stronger and a step away from the problem. It even sets the stage for productive discussions among those who are not so local, but have a profound impact on the health and vibrancy of the community (e.g. DOT). Thanks for the discussion everyone. Chuck, call me if you would like to discuss further.

Like · Reply · 1y · Edited

Charles Marohn We should have you on the podcast to talk about this and the challenge of these kind of sites. Interested?

Like · Reply · 1y

Orion Planning + Design I'm in!

Like · Reply · 1y
A couple weeks ago, Chuck Marohn shared an image on Facebook that sparked a contentious conversation. It was an illustration of a potential retrofit project, tu...

See More

Suburban Redevelopment Requires Patience, Engagement and a Positive Attitude

Carol Rhea Phillips, Allison Mouch and 9 others
Suburban Redevelopment Requires Patience, Engagement and a Positive Attitude

March 29, 2018
by Rachel Quednau

“When you’re trying to work with the dynamic of change in a smaller community with a lower budget, it’s different,” says Barber. “It’s one thing to produce stellar work in the very privileged places. It’s another thing to facilitate the process of change in ordinary spaces throughout the country.”
Development Review as Economic Development

Michael Blue
The Warning Signs

How do you know the process isn’t firing on all cylinders?

- Lack of Understanding or Respect for the Process
- Development by Litigation
- Amending Unnecessary or Inappropriate Requirements
- Reliance on Last Minute Negotiations
- Lack of Internal Communications
- Lack of Positive Development Outcomes
The Charge

Development review must incorporate 3 themes:

- Process must be *predictable* to the applicant, elected/appointed officials, other departments and the public.

- Each step must *add value* to the process, the development and the community.

- The process must ensure open and continuous *communication* to all involved.
Improving Development Review: Create Predictability

Certainty v. Flexibility
- Municipalities want certainty
- Developers want flexibility

Different Game for Little Guys
- Small, local businesses likely to be unfamiliar with process
Improving Development Review: Create Predictability

- Conduct Commissioner Training
  - Ensure that volunteers understand roles and responsibilities
- Incorporate Community Plans
  - Use policy documents as guide for applicants
- Establish and Communicate Meeting Protocols
  - Have rules that establish sound meeting structures
  - Require and monitor commissioner attendance
Improving Development Review: Add Value

- Review Steps Must Add Value
  - Be able to answer “why” about each step in the process
  - Not just “because the code says so” or “we’ve always done it that way”

- Limited Staff Resources
  - Small or reduced staffs have same obligations
  - Use 3rd party reviewers or part time staff
Improving Development Review: Add Value

Fewer Meetings v. Better Meetings

- Maintain process needed for public input and sound deliberation
- Consider opportunities for meeting elimination or consolidation

Administrative Approval v. Commission Approval

- Consider value added by each commission review – communicate that value
- Replace common approvals with administrative standards
Improving Development Review: Communicate

- Communicate Early and Often in the Process
  - Communicate Process and Expectations: No Surprises
  - Create points of contact with applicant (people and times)
  - Keep administration, elected & appointed officials informed
- Be clear and direct with applicant
- Be available for applicant questions
Improving Development Review: Communicate

- Communicate Internally
  - Actively involve all staff from development related departments in approval process
  - Set regular meeting times
- Maintain Consistency Between Commissions
  - Manage opposing commission approvals
  - Logical order for review by multiple boards
Questions